Case 5:09-cr-00256-RMW Document 4 Filed 03/02/09 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN JOSE DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	Case Number <u>09-mj-70202RS</u>
v.	
JOSE LUIS CRUZ-MORA, Defendant.	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	2(f), a detention hearing was held on March 2, 2009. Defendant
was present, represented by his attorney Nick Humy AFPD. The U	Inited States was represented by Assistant U.S. Attorney Chad
Mandell	
PART I. PRESUMPTIONS APPLICABLE	
/ / The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and the defendant has been convicted	
of a prior offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) while on release pending trial for a federal, state or local offense, and a	
period of not more than five (5) years has elapsed since the date of	
whichever is later.	*
	n or combination of conditions will reasonably assure the safety
of any other person and the community.	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
	(the facts found in Part IV below) to believe that the defendant
has committed an offense	the facts found in Fart 17 below, to desire that the defendant
	nent of 10 years or more is prescribed in 21 U.S.C. §
901 -t	a ∩D
801 et seq., § 951 et seq., or § 955a et se	m during the commission of a felony. FILED
B. under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c): use of a firear	in during the commission of a telony.
This establishes a rebuttable presumption that no condition	
appearance of the defendant as required and the safety of the comm	nunity. MAR - 2 2009
/X No presumption applies.	RICHARD W. WIEKING
PART II. REBUTTAL OF PRESUMPTIONS, IF APPLICABLE	CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA vidence to rebut the applicable presumption (SAN JOSE
	evidence to rebut the applicable presumption sea and the
therefore will be ordered detained.	
/ / The defendant has come forward with evidence to ret	
Thus, the burden of proof shifts back to the United States.	
PART III. PROOF (WHERE PRESUMPTIONS REBUTTED OR INAPPLICABLE)	
The United States has proved to a preponderance of the evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the appearance of the defendant as required, AND/OR	
/ / The United States has proved by clear and convincing evidence that no condition or combination of conditions will	
reasonably assure the safety of any other person and the community.	
PART IV. WRITTEN FINDINGS OF FACT AND STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR DETENTION	
/ / The Court has taken into account the factors set out in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(g) and all of the information submitted at	
the hearing and finds as follows:	
Defendant, his attorney, and the AUSA have waived v	written findings.
PART V. DIRECTIONS REGARDING DETENTION	
The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney G	eneral or his designated representative for confinement in a
corrections facility separate to the extent practicable from persons awa	aiting or serving sentences or being held in custody pending appea
The defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opportunity for private consultation with defense counsel. On order of a court of the	
United States or on the request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the	
defendant to the United States Marshal for the purpose of an appearance in connection with a court proceeding.	
	\wedge / $ $
) ,	
Dated: $3 2 09$	1/2 Umm
Dated: $3 \mid 2 \mid 0 \mid$	
HOWAF	RD R. LLOYD ()
United States Magistrate Hidge	
	~

AUSA ____, ATTY _____, PTS ____